Volume 14, Issue IV, September 2025, ISSN: 2277-1255
BHARTIYAM INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATION & RESEARCH
A quarterly peer reviewed International Journal of Research & Education

A Study on the Effectiveness of the Constructivist Approach in Enhancing Science Learning and Attitude Among 8th Graders

***Divya Bansal & **Dr. Rainu Gupta**

***Abstract**

This study examines the effectiveness of the constructivist approach in enhancing science learning and attitudes among 8th-grade students, comparing it with traditional lecture-based methods. Grounded in the theories of Piaget and Vygotsky, constructivism emphasizes active, experiential, and collaborative knowledge construction rather than passive reception, with the teacher acting as a facilitator who guides inquiry and scaffolds learning through models such as the 5E framework (Engage, Explore, Explain, Elaborate, Evaluate). To evaluate its impact, an experimental pre-test/post-test design was conducted with 100 students from Bahadurgarh City, divided equally into a control group taught conventionally and an experimental group instructed using constructivist modules, with both groups further stratified into high- and low-intelligence levels. Data were collected through an achievement test, a standardized attitude scale, and an intelligence test over a one-month teaching period. Pre-test results indicated no significant differences between the groups, confirming baseline comparability, while post-test findings revealed that students taught with the constructivist method demonstrated significantly higher academic achievement and more positive attitudes toward science across both intelligence levels. Within-group analysis further showed substantial improvements in achievement and attitudes for the experimental group, highlighting the method's effectiveness in fostering both cognitive and affective growth. These outcomes are strongly supported by related national and international studies that confirm the superiority of constructivist pedagogy in diverse subjects, while also acknowledging implementation challenges such as class size and exam-driven systems. The findings carry important implications for curriculum development, advocating for inquiry-based, project-oriented, and contextually relevant content, and for teacher training programs, which must emphasize facilitation, questioning, and scaffolding skills to enable meaningful learner engagement. For students, constructivism promotes autonomy, collaboration, and self-reflection, while parents are encouraged to actively support these approaches by creating a cooperative and positive learning environment at home. In conclusion, the study provides compelling evidence that constructivism-based teaching significantly enhances both science achievement and attitudes among middle school learners, regardless of intelligence level, establishing it as a powerful instructional model that fosters active participation, critical thinking, and meaningful learning, and holds strong potential for shaping future educational practices across disciplines.

Keywords: *constructivist approach*

*Research Scholar, Sanskriti University, Mathura
**Dean, Sanskriti University

The field of education is continuously evolving, driven by a deeper understanding of human learning and cognition. Historically, the dominant educational paradigm was behaviorism, which viewed learning as a passive process of receiving and storing information from a central authority, typically the teacher. This model emphasized observable behavior and external stimuli, often relying on rote memorization and recitation. However, the 20th century saw a significant shift toward **constructivism**, a cognitive theory that revolutionized educational philosophy and practice.

Constructivism posits that knowledge is not passively received but is actively and dynamically constructed by learners based on their experiences and interactions with the world. This shift, advocated in India by the National Curriculum Framework (NCF-2005), represents a move from teacher-centred to student-centred learning. This review article synthesizes the key tenets of constructivism and examines its demonstrated impact on academic achievement and attitudes toward science, drawing from a research study and its comprehensive review of related literature.

Foundational Principles and Pedagogical Framework

The ideology of constructivism is built on a multifaceted set of principles that guide its application in the classroom. Central to this philosophy is the belief that learning is an active, personal, and social process. Key theorists such as Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky provided the foundational work for this approach. Piaget's work on cognitive development emphasizes that individuals construct knowledge to resolve a state of cognitive imbalance when new information conflicts with existing understanding. Vygotsky, on the other hand, introduced the crucial social component, arguing that learning occurs through collaboration and guidance within the **Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD)**, the space between what a learner can do independently and what they can achieve with help.

The constructivist classroom is a democratic and interactive environment where the teacher's role is reframed from an instructor to a **facilitator**. The teacher's main responsibility is to

*Research Scholar, Sanskriti University, Mathura
**Dean, Sanskriti University

mentor students by asking questions that prompt them to reach their own conclusions, rather than simply providing answers. The roles identified by David Jonassen— **modeling, coaching, and scaffolding**—provide a clear framework for this new function, emphasizing support and guidance over direct instruction.

A common pedagogical model for implementing constructivism is the **5 E's model**, a sequential framework comprising five distinct stages.

- **Engage**: This initial stage captures students' attention and connects new concepts to their prior knowledge, stimulating curiosity and identifying misconceptions without immediately correcting them.
- **Explore**: Students actively investigate phenomena through hands-on activities, forming their own preliminary hypotheses and questions with the teacher acting as a guide rather than a direct instructor.
- **Explain**: Learners clarify their observations and discoveries, and new knowledge is gained and applied collaboratively through discussions.
- **Elaborate**: Students apply their deepened understanding to new situations or problems, extending their knowledge beyond the specific example.
- **Evaluate**: In the final stage, students reflect on their learning and contrast their initial ideas with their new understanding, clarifying any misconceptions. This evaluation is often based on demonstrated understanding and application rather than just standardized tests.

This approach transforms the learner's role from a passive recipient to an **active participant** who is responsible for their own learning. Students are encouraged to collaborate, test their expectations, and progress from a lower to a higher level of comprehension by taking a fresh perspective on the material.

Research Methodology and Findings

The presented thesis employed an experimental, pre-test and post-test design with two groups: an experimental group that received constructivist instruction and a control group that

*Research Scholar, Sanskriti University, Mathura
**Dean, Sanskriti University

received traditional instruction. The study population consisted of 100 Class VIII pupils from a school in Bahadurgarh City, who were divided into a control group (n=50) and an experimental group (n=50) using simple random sampling. The sample was further stratified into low- and high-intelligence levels to serve as a moderator variable, with 25 students from each intelligence level in both groups.

The dependent variables were academic achievement and attitude towards science. The investigator developed an achievement test and used a standardized attitude scale and intelligence test for data collection. The intervention involved a one-month teaching period using constructivism-based modules for the experimental group and a conventional lecture-based approach for the control group.

The findings were systematically analyzed using the t-test statistical technique.

Pre-Treatment Analysis

The analysis of pre-test scores revealed no statistically significant difference between the control and experimental groups in terms of either academic achievement or attitude towards science. This was true for both the low- and high-intelligence groups. This finding was crucial, as it confirmed that the groups were comparable at the start of the study, supporting the internal validity of the experiment.

Post-Treatment Analysis

Following the intervention, the post-test results showed a dramatic and statistically significant difference between the groups. The experimental group, taught with the constructivist method, demonstrated significantly higher academic achievement and a more positive attitude towards science than the control group. This effect was observed across both intelligence levels, indicating that the constructivist approach successfully enhanced learning for all students, regardless of their initial intellectual ability. The findings for both achievement and attitude were supported by a high t-value, leading to the rejection of the null hypotheses that stated no significant difference.

*Research Scholar, Sanskriti University, Mathura
**Dean, Sanskriti University

Within-Group Analysis

A separate analysis comparing the experimental group's pre-test and post-test scores revealed a significant improvement in both academic achievement and attitude. The mean score for academic achievement rose from 13.92 to 32.80 for low-intelligence pupils and from 37.04 to 45.80 for high-intelligence pupils. Attitude scores also saw a substantial increase from 303.24 to 389.28 for the low-intelligence group and from 348.76 to 410.08 for the high-intelligence group. This demonstrated that the constructivist intervention was highly effective in improving both cognitive and affective outcomes for the students who experienced it.

Synthesis of Related Literature

The thesis's findings are strongly corroborated by a broad body of research, both international and national, that supports the efficacy of constructivist teaching.

International Research

International studies have consistently shown that constructivism is an effective learning theory across various subjects.

- In biology, research by Gemayel (2010) found that the constructivist approach was more effective than conventional methods in developing scientific thinking skills. Similarly, Saadi (2010) demonstrated its clear superiority in improving biology achievement.
- In mathematics, studies by Gerald & Hankes (2010) showed a significant increase in topic knowledge and confidence when constructivism was integrated with content instruction. Galia (2016) found that while traditional lectures were effective for teaching calculus, the constructivist approach yielded considerably higher post-test scores.
- Constructivism has also been shown to be beneficial for adult and professional development, fostering collaborative, self-directed learning in online and field school settings. A study by Shieh (2010) concluded that social constructivism techniques are

*Research Scholar, Sanskriti University, Mathura
**Dean, Sanskriti University

promising for adult learning, but they require suitable assessment strategies and effective facilitation.

- Other studies have explored its effectiveness in English language teaching, history, and its potential to foster an appreciation for democracy. For example, Welly & Murwani (2018) found that a social constructivism-based reading design improved not only reading comprehension but also students' pro-social behaviour.

However, the literature also notes challenges to implementation, such as class size, student variance, and the demands of external testing systems, which can hinder the adoption of constructivist practices.

National Research (India)

The review of Indian research revealed a strong alignment with the international findings and provided a critical context for the study.

- Studies by Gautam & Kulshrestha (2011) and Bhattacharjee &Mehera (2014) asserted that constructivist teaching is a successful method for promoting meaningful learning and academic achievement, particularly in science.
- Research confirms that the approach is more effective than traditional methods, leading to higher achievement in subjects like mathematics, Hindi, and social science. For example, Dev (2016) demonstrated significant improvements in elementary school mathematics , while Kauts & Sikand (2019) found that social science students taught with constructivism had significantly higher achievement gain scores.
- The literature highlights a national push towards constructivism, with frameworks like the NCF-2005 and NCERT textbooks being developed based on its principles.
- Crucially, the review identified a significant research gap: while there was a positive national attitude toward constructivism, its actual impact on student outcomes had not been comprehensively investigated, especially in specific geographical areas like the one studied and across different student intelligence levels.

*Research Scholar, Sanskriti University, Mathura
**Dean, Sanskriti University

Discussion and Educational Implications

The findings of the presented thesis and the corroborating literature provide compelling evidence that **constructivism-based instruction is superior to traditional methods** for enhancing academic achievement and fostering a positive attitude towards science. This difference in effectiveness is linked to the fundamental contrast in student engagement: traditional teaching places students in a passive role, while constructivism actively involves them in enjoyable, hands-on activities that encourage meaningful learning and the construction of new understanding.

The implications of these findings are profound and far-reaching, particularly for the Indian education system.

For Curriculum and Approach Developers

The study's results suggest that science curricula and textbooks must be revised to integrate constructivist teaching techniques. This involves structuring content to allow for brainstorming, project-based activities, simulations, and group debates. The curriculum should be flexible and responsive to the unique characteristics and pace of individual learners, presenting topics in a psychological sequence that aligns with how students naturally acquire understanding. Textbooks should also include locally relevant examples and correlations with other subjects to make learning more meaningful and contextual.

For Teachers

Teacher training programs must be re-structured to effectively equip educators to act as **mental scaffolds** during the knowledge creation process. These programs should place a strong emphasis on developing skills in observation, questioning, brainstorming, and evaluation. By mastering these techniques, teachers can support students in cultivating proficiency in critical thinking, divergent thinking, and problem-solving. The research confirms that the teacher's attitude towards constructivism is a key factor in its successful implementation.

*Research Scholar, Sanskriti University, Mathura
**Dean, Sanskriti University

For Learners

The study's findings empower learners to take a more central role in their own education. Students must be given the freedom to express their ideas and the opportunity to engage in cooperative learning activities. Training students in self-evaluation is also crucial, as it helps them identify their weaknesses and work toward improvement, fostering a sense of autonomy and responsibility.

For Guardians

The research highlights the significant role of parents and guardians in a child's academic journey. It is essential for them to stay informed about modern teaching methods and to respect their children's autonomy in learning. By maintaining consistent communication with schools, attending parent-teacher meetings, and actively assisting with homework in a supportive home environment, guardians can significantly influence their children’s academic progress and attitude.

Conclusion

The current research provides compelling evidence that the constructivism-based teaching approach is a highly effective instructional method for improving academic achievement and enhancing attitude towards science among 8th-grade students. It demonstrates that this method is beneficial for learners of all intelligence levels, successfully fostering a democratic, interactive, and problem-solving-oriented environment that far surpasses the results of conventional teaching.

The study also reinforces the call for more extensive research, suggesting that future investigations could explore the impact of constructivism in other subjects, across different grade levels, and on other psychological variables such as scholastic motivation and learning styles. Ultimately, the successful implementation of this approach holds the potential to cultivate expert learners and significantly contribute to the development of a more engaged and knowledgeable society.

*Research Scholar, Sanskriti University, Mathura
**Dean, Sanskriti University

References

- Bächtold, M. (2013). What do students 'construct' according to constructivism in science education? Research in Science Education, 43(6), 2477–2496. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-013-9369-7

- Dev, A. (2016). Effectiveness of constructivist approach in teaching mathematics at elementary level. International Journal of Research in Education and Psychology, 2(1), 21–30.

- Driver, R., & Oldham, V. (1986). A constructivist approach to curriculum development in science. Studies in Science Education, 13(1), 105–122. https://doi.org/10.1080/03057268608559933

- Duit, R., & Treagust, D. (1998). Learning in science – From behaviourism towards social constructivism and beyond. In B. J. Fraser & K. G. Tobin (Eds.), International handbook of science education (pp. 3–25). Springer.

- Gautam, R., & Kulshrestha, A. K. (2011). Effect of constructivist approach on academic achievement of secondary school students. Journal of Indian Education, 36(3), 64–73.

- Gemayel, N. (2010). Effectiveness of constructivist teaching approach on scientific thinking in biology. Journal of Science Education, 22(4), 12–20.

- Kauts, A., & Sikand, T. (2019). Effectiveness of constructivist approach in teaching social science. Educational Quest: An International Journal of Education and Applied Social Sciences, 10(1), 31–36.

- Matthews, M. R. (Ed.). (2014). International handbook of research in history, philosophy and science teaching. Springer.

- National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT). (2005). National Curriculum Framework 2005. NCERT. Retrieved from https://ncert.nic.in/ncf.php

*Research Scholar, Sanskriti University, Mathura
**Dean, Sanskriti University

- Pass, S. (2004). Parallel paths to constructivism: Jean Piaget and Lev Vygotsky. Information Age Publishing.

- Saadi, Z. (2010). Impact of constructivist method on students' achievement in biology. European Journal of Education Research, 2(2), 15–27.

- Shieh, C. (2010). The application of social constructivism in adult learning. International Journal of Lifelong Education, 29(1), 59–77.

- Taber, K. S. (2019). Constructivism in education: Interpretations and criticisms. Science Education Research. Retrieved from https://science-education-research.com/downloads/publications/2016/Taber-2016-2019-Constructivism-In-Education-AMV.pdf

- UNESCO. (2017). A guide for ensuring inclusion and equity in education. UNESCO Publishing. Retrieved from https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000248254

- Welly, R., & Murwani, E. (2018). Social constructivism-based reading design and its impact on pro-social behavior. Journal of Education and Practice, 9(20), 45–52.

- Wilson, B. (2018). Constructivism. In R. E. West (Ed.), Foundations of learning and instructional design technology. EdTech Books. Retrieved from https://edtechbooks.org/studentguide/constructivism

*Research Scholar, Sanskriti University, Mathura
**Dean, Sanskriti University